

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 9TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 1998

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE M.R. R.P. SETHI, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE M.R. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

WRIT PETITION NO. 4/1998 (HC)

BETWEEN:

Bharathi Pradeep Patil,  
aged about 23 years,  
Occ: Student and House hold,  
R/o. Nehru Chowk,  
Sadalaga, Taluka Chickodi,  
Dist. Belgaum. : PETITIONER

(Sri.Jayakumar .S. Patil for Petitioner)

AND:

1. Appasab Pasagounds Patil,  
Age:Major, Occ: Agriculture,  
R/o. Sadalaga, Taluka Chickodi,  
Dist. Belgaum.

2. Vijaya Appasab Patil,  
Age:Major, Occ: House hold work,  
R/o. Sadalaga, Taluka Chickodi,  
Dist. Belgaum.

3. Padmakar Appasab Patil,  
Age:Major, Occ: Agriculture  
and Service, R/o. Sadalaga,  
Taluka Chikodi, Dist. Belgaum.

4. Savitha Mahaveer Madgadum,  
Age:Major, Occ: House Hold work,  
R/o. Navjeevana Housing Society,  
Balika, S.I.D.C.O. Scheme-IV,  
Trimurthi Chowk, Mangal Matajaya  
Kalyana Nasik, Maharashtra State.

5. Mahaveer Appasab Magadum,  
Age:Major, Occ: Engineer,  
R/o. Navjeevan Housing Society,  
Balika S.I.D.C.O. Scheme-IV,  
Trimurthi Chowk, Mangala Matajaya,  
Kalyana Nasik, Maharashtra State.

6. The Superintendent of Police,  
Belgaum District, Belgaum. : RESPONDENTS

(Sri.B. R. Nanjandaiah, SPP, for Respondents)

\*\*\*\*

This Writ Petition filed under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India with an affidavit praying to direct the respondents produce detenue namely Pradeep Appasab Patil, R/o. Sadalaga, Taluka Chickodi, Dist. Belgaum before this Hon'ble Court and <sup>h.e.r</sup> ~~Shall~~ be let at liberty and etc.,

This Writ Petition coming on for further orders

- 3 -

this day, the Court made the following:

O R D E R

T. by: JL (c.t. only)

R. by: ~~N~~

C. by: ~~LINE~~  
~~31/3/18~~

CJ/VGGJ:

WP 4/98 (HC)

9.2.1998.


ORDER

In the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents it is submitted that, alleged detenu was not in wrongful detention and confinement and was living in Mumbai address on his own free will and accord. The address of the alleged detenu is also mentioned in Para.3 of the counter affidavit.

2. In view of the reply submitted on behalf of the respondents, no further action is required to be taken in the matter.

Petition dismissed at this stage.

Sd/-  
Chief Justice



Sd/-  
JUDGE

Sk/-